

Transforming Coloured Petri Nets to Counter systems for Parametric Verification: A Stop-and-Wait Protocol Case study

Jonathan Billington Guy Edward Gallasch Computer Systems Engineering Centre University of South Australia Mawson Lakes AUSTRALIA Laure Petrucci LIPN, CNRS UMR 7030 Université Paris 13 Villetaneuse FRANCE





- analysis of network protocols
- often modelled using (coloured) Petri nets
- **state space explosion** \Rightarrow difficult to analyse
- parametric models





- analysis of network protocols
- often modelled using (coloured) Petri nets
- **state space explosion** \Rightarrow difficult to analyse
- parametric models
- \Rightarrow use :
 - acceleration techniques to cope with the state space explosion problem
 - FAST tool capabilities for parametric analysis





■ FAST tool

- Counter systems
- Acceleration technique
- Input/output of FAST
- From Petri nets to counter systems
 - General technique
 - Handling coloured Petri nets
- Stop-and-wait Protocols
 - Coloured Petri net model
 - Counter system model
 - Analysis



Counter Systems

- automata (control graph)
- extended with a finite set of unbounded integer variables
- transitions labelled with:
 - a guard expressed in Presburger arithmetics
 - an action expressed as an affine function over the integer variables













































Reachability Set

- often infinite \rightarrow classical algorithm does not terminate
- \blacksquare \Rightarrow use of acceleration techniques
- semi-algorithm, often terminates



Reachability Set

- \blacksquare often infinite \rightarrow classical algorithm does not terminate
- \blacksquare \Rightarrow use of acceleration techniques
- semi-algorithm, often terminates
- symbolic representation of infinite sets
- acceleration: compute the effect of iterating a loop



Reachability Set

- \blacksquare often infinite \rightarrow classical algorithm does not terminate
- $\blacksquare \Rightarrow$ use of acceleration techniques
- semi-algorithm, often terminates
- symbolic representation of infinite sets
- acceleration: compute the effect of iterating a loop





Reachability Set

- \blacksquare often infinite \rightarrow classical algorithm does not terminate
- $\blacksquare \Rightarrow$ use of acceleration techniques
- semi-algorithm, often terminates
- symbolic representation of infinite sets
- acceleration: compute the effect of iterating a loop



Classical algorithm: $Reach \supseteq \{0\}$



Reachability Set

- \blacksquare often infinite \rightarrow classical algorithm does not terminate
- $\blacksquare \Rightarrow$ use of acceleration techniques
- semi-algorithm, often terminates
- symbolic representation of infinite sets
- acceleration: compute the effect of iterating a loop



Classical algorithm: $Reach \supseteq \{0, 2\}$



Reachability Set

- \blacksquare often infinite \rightarrow classical algorithm does not terminate
- $\blacksquare \Rightarrow$ use of acceleration techniques
- semi-algorithm, often terminates
- symbolic representation of infinite sets
- acceleration: compute the effect of iterating a loop



Classical algorithm: $Reach \supseteq \{0, 2, \ldots\}$



Reachability Set

- \blacksquare often infinite \rightarrow classical algorithm does not terminate
- $\blacksquare \Rightarrow$ use of acceleration techniques
- semi-algorithm, often terminates
- symbolic representation of infinite sets
- acceleration: compute the effect of iterating a loop



Acceleration: $Reach:=2.\mathbb{N}$





model : counter system

strategy : sequence of computations to check a safety
property, described by a script language operating on:

- regions (sets of states)
- transitions
- booleans

and using operators to perform:

- sets and boolean operations
- forward/backward reachability





Petri Nets \rightarrow **Counter Systems**

- a unique state
- one counter per place of the net
- one transition per transition of the net. Each transition:
 - loops onto the unique state
 - guard: enabling condition in the net
 - action: mimics the Petri net firing rule







model n1 { var p1, p2, p3; states dummy; transition t1 := { from := dummy; to := dummy; guard := p1>=1; action := p1'=p1-1, p2'=p2+2; }; transition t2 := { from := dummy; to := dummy; guard := p3>=1 && p1=0; action := p1'=p1+4, p2'=0, p3'=p3-1; }; }





Handling CPNs

- often a single token (or none) in a place ⇒ represent colour set with an integer
- integers or enumerable types easy to map
- queues are more complex





Handling CPNs

- often a single token (or none) in a place ⇒ represent colour set with an integer
- integers or enumerable types easy to map
- queues are more complex
 - several types of messages but not simultaneously ⇒ count the number of messages. One counter per type





Handling CPNs

- often a single token (or none) in a place ⇒ represent colour set with an integer
- integers or enumerable types easy to map
- queues are more complex
 - several types of messages but not simultaneously ⇒ count the number of messages. One counter per type
 - at most two types of messages a and b at the same time in a FIFO queue, the queue being of the form a*b* ⇒ 4 variables:
 - 1. *a_type* type of messages *a*
 - 2. *nb_a_type* number of messages of type *a*
 - **3.** *b_type* type of messages *b*
 - 4. *nb_type* number of messages of type *b*



SWP CPN model





var SState, SSeqNb, Retrans, MaxRetrans, RSeqNb, RState, MaxSeqNb, MCOld, MCNew, NbMCOld, NbMCNew, ACOld, ACNew, NbACOld, NbACNew;



var SState, SSeqNb, Retrans, MaxRetrans, RSeqNb, RState, MaxSeqNb, MCOld, MCNew, NbMCOld, NbMCNew, ACOld, ACNew, NbACOld, NbACNew; states dummy;



```
var SState, SSeqNb, Retrans, MaxRetrans, RSeqNb, RState, MaxSeqNb,
MCOld, MCNew, NbMCOld, NbMCNew, ACOld, ACNew, NbACOld, NbACNew;
states dummy;
transition sendM1 := {
  from := dummy;
  to := dummy;
  guard := SState=1 && NbMCOld=0;
  action := SState'=0,
    MCNew'=SSeqNb, NbMCNew'=1, MCOld'=SSeqNb, NbMCOld'=1;};
```



```
var SState, SSeqNb, Retrans, MaxRetrans, RSeqNb, RState, MaxSeqNb,
   MCOld, MCNew, NbMCOld, NbMCNew, ACOld, ACNew, NbACOld, NbACNew;
states dummy;
transition sendM1 := {
   from := dummy;
   to := dummy;
   guard := SState=1 && NbMCOld=0;
   action := SState'=0,
    MCNew'=SSeqNb, NbMCNew'=1, MCOld'=SSeqNb, NbMCOld'=1;};
transition sendM2 := {
   from := dummy;
   to := dummy;
   guard := SState=1 && !(NbMCOld=0);
   action := SState'=0, MCNew'=SSeqNb, NbMCNew'=1;
};
```





```
strategy analyseSWP {
    setMaxState(0);
    setMaxAcc(0);
```





```
strategy analyseSWP {
    setMaxState(0);
    setMaxAcc(0);
```

Transitions t := {sendM1, sendM2, ...};





```
strategy analyseSWP {
    setMaxState(0);
    setMaxAcc(0);
```

```
Transitions t := {sendM1, sendM2, ...};
```

```
Region init := {state=dummy && SState=1 && SSeqNb=0 &&
Retrans=0 && MCOld=0 && MCNew=0 && NbMCOld=0 && NbMCNew=0 &&
ACOld=1 && ACNew=1 && NbACOld=0 && NbACNew=0 &&
RSeqNb=0 && RState=1 && MaxSeqNb=5};
```





```
strategy analyseSWP {
    setMaxState(0);
    setMaxAcc(0);
```

```
Transitions t := {sendM1, sendM2, ...};
```

```
Region init := {state=dummy && SState=1 && SSeqNb=0 &&
Retrans=0 && MCOld=0 && MCNew=0 && NbMCOld=0 && NbMCNew=0 &&
ACOld=1 && ACNew=1 && NbACOld=0 && NbACNew=0 &&
RSeqNb=0 && RState=1 && MaxSeqNb=5};
```

```
Region reach := post*(init, t, 2);
```





Consecutive sequence numbers in messages buffer:





Consecutive sequence numbers in messages buffer:

if (subSet(reach,diffoldnewM))
 then print("Consecutive nb in message buffer OK");
 else print("Consecutive nb in message buffer NOK");
endif





Consecutive sequence numbers in messages buffer:

- if (subSet(reach,diffoldnewM))
 then print("Consecutive nb in message buffer OK");
 else print("Consecutive nb in message buffer NOK");
 endif
- Consecutive sequence numbers in acknowledgements buffer
- Modelling assumptions w.r.t. the queue are valid





Lowest upper bound in messages buffer 2.MaxRetrans+1:

Region Mbound := {(MCOld=MCNew && NbMCOld<=MaxRetrans+MaxRetrans+1) || (!(MCOld=MCNew) && NbMCOld+NbMCNew<=MaxRetrans+MaxRetrans+1)};</pre>





Lowest upper bound in messages buffer 2.MaxRetrans+1:

Region Mbound := {(MCOld=MCNew && NbMCOld<=MaxRetrans+MaxRetrans+1) || (!(MCOld=MCNew) && NbMCOld+NbMCNew<=MaxRetrans+MaxRetrans+1)};</pre>

```
if (subSet(reach,Mbound))
    then print("Mbound OK");
    else print("Mbound NOK");
endif
```





Lowest upper bound in messages buffer 2.MaxRetrans+1:

```
Region Mbound := {(MCOld=MCNew &&
        NbMCOld<=MaxRetrans+MaxRetrans+1) ||
        (!(MCOld=MCNew) &&
        NbMCOld+NbMCNew<=MaxRetrans+MaxRetrans+1)};</pre>
```

```
if (subSet(reach,Mbound))
    then print("Mbound OK");
    else print("Mbound NOK");
endif
```



Lowest upper bound in acknowledgements buffer 2.MaxRetrans+1

Lowest upper bound in both buffers 2.MaxRetrans+1



Stop and Wait property needs a bit of instrumentation: add a variable SRprop recording the number of the last message sent +1. Update it when sending a message, reset it when receiving the message. Then check that it is not possible to send a message if the previous one has not been received:





- Stop and Wait property needs a bit of instrumentation: add a variable SRprop recording the number of the last message sent +1. Update it when sending a message, reset it when receiving the message. Then check that it is not possible to send a message if the previous one has not been received:
 - if (isEmpty(reach && {SRprop>0 && SState=1}))
 then print("Send and then receive OK");
 else print("Send and then receive NOK");
 endif





- Stop and Wait property needs a bit of instrumentation: add a variable SRprop recording the number of the last message sent +1. Update it when sending a message, reset it when receiving the message. Then check that it is not possible to send a message if the previous one has not been received:
 - if (isEmpty(reach && {SRprop>0 && SState=1}))
 then print("Send and then receive OK");
 else print("Send and then receive NOK");
 endif
- Hence no loss except eventually the last message when MaxRetrans is reached





No duplication: check that there is no state such that the receiver is ready to accept a new message with a sequence number different from the last message sent:

```
then print("No duplication OK");
else print("No duplication NOK");
```

endif





In sequence delivery: check that it is not possible to receive an original message with a sequence number different from the most recently sent:





Deadlocks as expected:

- Retrans=MaxRetrans
- Sender not ready to send a new message: SState=0
- both buffers empty: MCOld=MCNew, ACOld=ACNew and NbMCOld=NbMCNew=NbACOld=NbACNew=0





- parametric verification of stop-and-wait protocols with lossy or lossless channels
- verification of many properties
- translation of some CPNs with queues into counter systems

